	N46GdilC	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	x	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V.	17 Cr. 630 (ER)
5	IRINA DILKINSKA,	
6	Defendant.	Conference
7	x	
8		New York, N.Y.
9		April 6, 2023 11:30 a.m.
10		
11	Before:	
12	HON. EDGARDO RAMOS,	
13		District Judge
14	APPEARANCES	
15	DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York KEVIN MEAD JULIANA MURRAY Assistant United States Attorney	
16		
17		
18		
19	RACHEL PERILLO Attorney for Defendant Also Present: Ronald Shimko, FBI Special Agent	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. MEAD: Good morning, your Honor. AUSAs Kevin Mead and Juliana Murray appearing for the government, joined at counsel table by FBI Special Agent Ron Shimko.

MS. PERILLO: Good morning, your Honor. Rachel Perillo, I'm standing in for Jeremy Schneider, who is out of town for Passover.

THE COURT: Good morning to you all.

Mr. Mead, has Ms. Dilkinska been arraigned on the indictment?

MR. MEAD: She has, your Honor.

THE COURT: No one has to stand, by the way, you can remain seated.

Has a rule 5(f) ordered been entered?

MR. MEAD: I believe so, but just give me one minute.

Yes, a rule 5(f) order was entered, your Honor.

THE COURT: So tell me, if you would, how

Ms. Dilkinska came to be here, what the nature of the charges

are and what the discovery is and when it will be turned over.

MR. MEAD: Yes, your Honor.

She was extradited from Bulgaria. There was a long extradition process. She arrived in the United States, I think, a week or two ago, immediately before her presentment

and arraignment in magistrate court. She's currently detained.

She's been charged in the indictment. Count One charges her with conspiracy to commit wire fraud; Count Two with conspiracy to commit money laundering. Both charges arise out of her role in the OneCoin conspiracy, which was a fake cryptocurrency sold in a multi-level marketing scheme.

Ms. Dilkinska had a high level role at the company in Bulgaria. The company was headquartered in Bulgaria, and she was their head of legal and compliance.

The discovery in this case is very, very voluminous. Fortunately, it virtually all has been produced to other defendants, so it's largely a process of getting it all together and getting it on a hard drive to the defense.

We have spoken with the defense. We would propose coming back in approximately 90 days. And the defense specifically requested a couple of dates; July 11, 12 or 13. So we propose coming back in 90 days, discussing a motion schedule at that time. In the meantime, we would, of course, produce discovery to the defendant promptly. We would ask for 45 days for discovery. We are hoping to get it completed substantially before then, though.

THE COURT: I take it that at least some amount of discovery -- perhaps a good amount of discovery -- was submitted in connection with the extradition request.

MR. MEAD: I don't think a huge amount of it, your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Honor. My recollection is it would have mainly been an affidavit with a relatively limited set of facts connected to it.

THE COURT: You indicated it was a lengthy extradition process. Was Ms. Dilkinska remanded during that time?

MR. MEAD: Just one second, your Honor.

(Conferring)

MR. MEAD: Yes, your Honor. She was remanded while in Bulgaria while extradition was pending.

THE COURT: And you have still not gotten the defense the discovery; correct?

MR. MEAD: That's correct, your Honor.

She's only been here for a relatively short period of time in the United States. I think the protective order was entered yesterday or the day before.

THE COURT: Do you know whether the company is still open and operating in Bulgaria?

MR. MEAD: Our understanding is it is likely not still operating in Bulgaria at this time. We don't have full visibility. Our understanding is it is largely shut down.

Just one second.

(Conferring)

MR. MEAD: Your Honor, because it is a multi-level marketing scheme, there may be some promoters who are still promoting the cryptocurrency all over the world. But we're not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

aware of much activity going on in the headquarters at this time.

THE COURT: Very well.

Ms. Perillo, is there anything you want to put on the record?

MS. PERILLO: No, your Honor, other than we consent to the 90 days.

THE COURT: Ms. Riveera, do you have a date and time?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: July 6 at 10:30 a.m.

MR. MEAD: That's fine with the government.

MS. PERILLO: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: July 6th at 10:30 a.m.

Is there anything else we need to do or should do today?

Mr. Mead.

MR. MEAD: We ask that the Court exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act between today and July 6th so the government can produce discovery, the defense can review that discovery and the parties can discuss a pretrial resolution.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. PERILLO: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will exclude time between now and

July 6th under the Speedy Trial Act. I find that

Ms. Dilkinska's interests in excluding that time outweigh the interests of the public under speedy trial for the reasons set

```
N46GdilC
      forth by Mr. Mead.
1
 2
               Unless there's anything else, Mr. Mead.
 3
               MS. PERILLO: No, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Ms. Perillo.
 5
               MS. PERILLO: No, your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: We're adjourned.
 7
               (Adjourned)
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```